UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O..Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,270	06/09/2000	Kenneth Shepard	AP32158-070050.1280	2448

21003   7590   08/04/2003

BAKER & BOTTS
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER
SHARON, AYAL I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2123	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		**Applicant(s)**
Office Action Summary	09/591,270		SHEPARD, KENNETH
	Examiner		**Art Unit**
	Ayal I Sharon		2123

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 June 2000</u> .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2000</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

    If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

    a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. Claims 1-22 of U.S. Application 09/591,270 filed on 06/09/2000 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. A search of references located 2 papers co-authored by applicant that appear relevant to the claimed subject matter. However, these papers did not appear in the IDS that the Applicant submitted. It is unclear why these references were not included in the IDS. Applicant is reminded of the duty of disclosure under 37 CFR 1.56.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed. Figures 1-13 have handwritten labels, and Figure 7 is handwritten in its entirety.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations

of the base claim and all intervening claims. The allowable limitation is that of

Claim 9:

> 9. The method of claim 6, further comprising the step of performing active net tagging, after
> said checking step, on each of said one or more nets to determine whether any of said one
> or more nets will switch with regular frequency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by
> another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent
> granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the
> applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section
> 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States
> only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)
> of such treaty in the English language.

6. The prior art used for these rejections is as follows:

7. Chuang et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003 / 0078763 A1.

Filed: April 19, 1999. (Henceforth referred to as "**Chuang**").

8. The claim rejections are hereby summarized for Applicant's convenience. The

detailed rejections follow.

9. **Claims 1-4 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being**

anticipated by Chuang.

10. In regards to Claim 1, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

1. A method for statically estimating a body voltage of one or more transistors which form
digital partially depleted silicon-on-insulator circuit having a predetermined circuit
topology comprising said one or more transistors and one or more nets connecting said
transistors, comprising the steps of:

a. obtaining one or more device models, each corresponding to one of said one or more
transistors;

(Chuang, especially: Fig.1 and associated text)

b. abstracting each of said device models to generate one or more simplified electrical
descriptions, each corresponding to one of said one or more transistors;

(Chuang, especially: Fig.3 and associated text)

c. checking said predetermined circuit topology to generate one or more sets of
accessible states, each set corresponding to one of said one or more transistors
and being indicative of whether under any allowable switching activity, the
source, gate or drain could be high or low.

(Chuang, especially: Fig.2 and associated text)

d. determining one or more sets of reference state body voltage minima and reference
state body voltage maxima, one for each of said one or more transistors, based
on corresponding simplified electrical descriptions and corresponding sets of
accessible states; and

(Chuang, especially: Fig.6 and associated text)

e. ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima and target state body
voltage maxima, one for each of said one or more transistors, based on said
determined sets of reference state body voltage minima and reference state body
voltage maxima.

(Chuang, especially: Fig.6 and associated text)

11. In regards to Claim 2, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

2. The method of claim 1, wherein said device models are selected from the group consisting
of an n channel Field Effect Transistor model and a p channel Field Effect Transistor model.

(Chuang, especially: Fig.5 and associated text)

12. In regards to Claim 3, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

3. The method of claim 2, wherein said abstracting step comprises abstracting each of said n
channel Field Effect Transistor models, if any, and each of said p channel Field Effect
Transistor models, if any, to obtain one or more displacement voltages d_i, and one or more
steady-state reference voltages V_i^{Zero}, for each of said device models.

(Chuang, especially: p.3, para. 58-67.)

13. In regards to Claim 4, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

4. The method of claim 3, wherein said corresponding simplified electrical descriptions

comprise said displacement voltages d_i, and said determining step comprises determining said sets of reference state body voltage minima, and reference state body voltage maxima based on corresponding displacement voltages d_i, and corresponding set of accessible states.
(Chuang, especially: p.3, para. 58-67.)

14. In regards to Claim 16, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

16. A method for analyzing an electrical property of a digital partially depleted silicon-on insulator circuit having a predetermined circuit topology comprising one or more transistors and one or more nets, comprising the steps of:

a. ascertaining a target state body voltage minimum and a target state body voltage minimum for each of said transistors in said circuit;
(Chuang, especially: Fig.6, and associated text)

b. establishing an initial condition for said circuit by selecting either said target state body voltage minimum or said target state body voltage minimum for each of said transistors in said circuit;
(Chuang, especially: Fig.6, and associated text)

c. applying a voltage to said circuit; and
(Chuang, especially: Fig.6, Item 57 and associated text)

d. measuring said electrical property of said circuit.
(Chuang, especially: Fig.6, Item 57 and associated text)

15. In regards to Claim 17, Chuang teaches the following limitations:

17. The method of claim 16, wherein said electrical property comprises a switching delay and said measuring step comprises measuring a delay between a switching input and a switching output as a constituent simulation for static timing analysis.
(Chuang, especially: pp.3-4, para. 68-71)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. The prior art used for these rejections is as follows:

18. Chuang et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003 / 0078763 A1.
Filed: April 19, 1999. (Henceforth referred to as "**Chuang**").

19. Shepard, K.L. et al. "Body-Voltage Estimation in Digital PD-SOI Circuits and Its
Application to Static Timing Analysis". <u>1999 IEEE/ACM Int'l Conf. on CAD.</u> Nov.
7-11, 1999. pp.531-538. (Henceforth referred to as "**Shepard**").

20. Shepard, K.L. et al. "Harmony: Static Noise Analysis of Deep Submicron Digital
Integrated Circuits". <u>1999 IEEE Transactions on CAD of Integrated Circuits and
Systems.</u> August, 1999. pp.1132-1150. (Henceforth referred to as "**Shepard_2**").

21. **Claims 5-15 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious
over Chuang in view of Shepard.**

22. In regards to Claim 5, Chuang teaches initializing body potential to either the
highest or lowest values in a range (see Fig.6, and associated text).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach the use of "uncertainty
estimation" as claimed in the following limitation:

> 5. The method of claim 4, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more
> target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, based
> on said determined reference state body voltage minima and maxima using full uncertainty
> estimation.

Shepard, on the other hand, does expressly teach the use of "full uncertainty
estimation." (see p.535, section 32.2, last paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard
because "Uncertainty is built into the timing analysis to account for variations in

the effective gate input capacitance depending on the switched state of the gate

(loading uncertainty)." (Shepard: p.535, Section 4, 1st para.).

23. In regards to Claim 6,

> 6. The method of claim 2, wherein said abstracting step comprises abstracting each of said n
> channel Field Effect Transistor models, if any, and each of said p channel Field Effect
> Transistor models, if any, to obtain one or more displacement voltages d_i, steady-state
> reference voltages V_i^{Zero}, and forward bias reference voltages $V_i^{Forward}$ for each of said device
> models.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching

event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a

transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang:

Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach displacement voltages, steady-

state reference voltages, or forward bias voltages as in the limitations, as recited

in the claim limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements

d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard teaches displacement voltages (see Shepard,

p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.), steady-state reference voltages (see Shepard,

p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; and p.533, col.1, para. 2), and forward bias voltages

(see Shepard, p.533, col.1, para. 2-3), as recited in the claim limitations.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage

modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

24. In regards to Claim 7,

7. The method of claim 6, wherein said corresponding simplified electrical descriptions
comprise said displacement voltages d_i, and said determining step comprises determining
said sets of reference state body voltage minima, and reference state body voltage maxima
based on corresponding displacement voltages d_i, and corresponding set of accessible states.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching

event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a

transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang:

Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach displacement voltages,

reference state body voltages, or accessible states, as recited in the claim

limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements

d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard teaches displacement voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.), reference state body voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and 4 types of estimation detailed in p.533: "Full-uncertainty body-voltage estimation", "Initial-condition body-voltage estimation", "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation", "Detailed steady-state body-voltage estimation"), and accessible states (see Shepard, p.533, col.1, para. 2-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538, col.1, para.2).

25. In regards to Claim 8,

8. The method of claim 7, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, based on said determined reference state body voltage minima and maxima using accessibility analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach displacement voltages, reference state body voltages, or accessible states, as recited in the limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard, teaches displacement voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.), reference state body voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation"), and accessible states (see Shepard, p.533, col.1, para. 2-3; and p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538, col.1, para.2).

26. In regards to Claim 12,

> 12. The method of claim 6, wherein said abstracting step further comprises abstracting each of said n channel Field Effect Transistor models, if any, and each of said p channel Field Effect Transistor models, if any, to obtain one or more corresponding time constant characterizations, one for each of said device models.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach time constant characterizations for the model devices.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard teaches modeling time constant characterizations (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.; and p.532, col.1, 1st para.) by "simple polynomial curve fits to circuit simulation results, since the relationships are too complex to motivate physically-based formulae".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

27. In regards to Claim 13,

13. The method of claim 12, further comprising the step of calculating signal probabilities and
timing windows from said time constant characterizations, after said checking step, on each
of said one or more nets, wherein said signal probabilities are determined by boolean
analysis, and said timing windows are determined by timing analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching

event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a

transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang:

Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach time windows determined by

timing analysis and signal probabilities determined by Boolean analysis.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements

d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard teaches modeling time constant characterizations

(see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.; and p.532, col.1, 1st para.) by "simple

polynomial curve fits to circuit simulation results, since the relationships are too

complex to motivate physically-based formulae". Shepard also teaches "We

characterize the logical environment of each FET by a set of signal probabilities

which determine the possible states of the source, gate, and drain of the

transistor at the end of a cycle." (see Shepard: p.533, col.2, section 3.2, 2nd

para.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage

modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

28. In regards to Claim 14,

14. The method of claim 13, wherein said corresponding simplified electrical descriptions
comprise said displacement voltages d_i, and said determining step comprises determining
said sets of reference state body voltage minima, and reference state body voltage maxima
based on corresponding displacement voltages d_i, corresponding sets of accessible states, and
from said calculated signal probabilities and timing windows.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event

due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient

analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach displacement voltages,

reference state body voltages, or accessible states, time windows determined by

timing analysis, or signal probabilities determined by Boolean analysis.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements

d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard teaches displacement voltages (see Shepard,

p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.), reference state body voltages (see Shepard, p.532,

section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and 4 types of estimation detailed in

p.533: "Full-uncertainty body-voltage estimation", "Initial-condition body-voltage

estimation", "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation", "Detailed

steady-state body-voltage estimation"), and accessible states (see Shepard,

p.533, col.1, para. 2-3).

Shepard also teaches modeling time constant characterizations (see

Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, 1st para.; and p.532, col.1, 1st para.) by "simple

polynomial curve fits to circuit simulation results, since the relationships are too

complex to motivate physically-based formulae". Shepard also teaches "We

characterize the logical environment of each FET by a set of signal probabilities

which determine the possible states of the source, gate, and drain of the

transistor at the end of a cycle." (see Shepard: p.533, col.2, section 3.2, 2nd

para.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage

modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538, col.1, para.2).

29. In regards to Claim 15,

15. The method of claim 14, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, based on said determined reference state body voltage minima and maxima using probabilistic analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach ascertaining body voltage maxima and/or minima by probabilistic analysis.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard also teaches "We characterize the logical environment of each FET by a set of signal probabilities which determine the possible states of the source, gate, and drain of the transistor at the end of a cycle." (see Shepard: p.533, col.2, section 3.2, 2nd para.). Shepard also teaches: "... From these probabilities, one can calculate a set of thirty-six transition probabilities for both the minimum or maximum cases ...". (see Shepard: p.534, col.1, 1st para.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage

modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

30. In regards to Claim 19,

19. The method of claim 16, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, using full uncertainty estimation.

Chuang teaches initializing body potential to either the highest or lowest

values in a range (see Fig.6, and associated text).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach the use of "uncertainty

estimation" as claimed in the following limitation:

Shepard, on the other hand, does expressly teach the use of "full uncertainty

estimation." (see p.535, section 32.2, last paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because "Uncertainty is built into the timing analysis to account for variations in

the effective gate input capacitance depending on the switched state of the gate

(loading uncertainty)." (Shepard: p.535, Section 4, 1st para.).

31. In regards to Claim 20,

20. The method of claim 16, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, using accessibility analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach reference state body voltages, or accessible states, as recited in the limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard, teaches reference state body voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation"), and accessible states (see Shepard, p.533, col.1, para. 2-3; and p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

32. In regards to Claim 21,

21. The method of claim 16, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more
target state body voltage minima and one or more target state body voltage maxima, using
modified accessibility analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching

event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a

transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang:

Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach reference state body voltages,

or modified accessibility analysis, as recited in the limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements

d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard, teaches reference state body voltages (see

Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and p.533, col.2,

"Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation"). Shepard also teaches

modified accessibility analysis: "The uncertainty of accessability estimation can

be reduced if one is further willing to restrict the allowable waveforms to those

meeting known timing requirements and known signal probabilities" (see

Shepard, p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard

because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage

modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to

determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on

knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538,

col.1, para.2).

33. In regards to Claim 22,

> 22. The method of claim 16, wherein said ascertaining step comprises ascertaining one or more target state body voltage minima, and one or more target state body voltage maxima, using probabilistic analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching

event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a

transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang:

Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach reference state body voltages,

or probabilistic analysis, as recited in the limitations.

Shepard teaches that "In characterizing the body voltage, we choose a

reference state of state 2 for the nFET and state 1 for the pFET. The

discontinuities between the [time] slices occur as a result of the capacitive

coupling kicks and are characterized by the voltage differences, or displacements d_i." (see Shepard: p.532, col.2, section 3.1, 1st para.).

More specifically, Shepard, teaches reference state body voltages (see Shepard, p.532, section 3.1, Eq.3; p.533, col.1, para. 2; and p.533, col.2, "Accessibility steady-state body-voltage estimation"), and probabilistic analysis (see Shepard, p.533, col.2, section 3.2, para. 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard because while both Chuang and Shepard teach that the body voltage modulations are due to coupling effects, Shepard's model "allows one to determine the body voltage and its associated uncertainty, depending on knowledge of the switching activity of the FETs in question." (Shepard, p.538, col.1, para.2).

34. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Chuang in view of Shepard_2.

35. In regards to Claim 18,

18. The method of claim 16, wherein said electrical property comprises noise and said measuring step comprises measuring noise on one or more nets in said circuit as a constituent simulation for static noise analysis.

Chuang teaches that "The body voltage is modulating during the switching event due to the gate-to-body and diffusion-to-body coupling; and thus only a transient analysis can properly model these coupling effects" (see Chuang: Abstract).

However, Chuang does not expressly teach measuring noise on one or more nets in a circuit as a constituent simulation for static noise analysis.

Shepard_2 teaches that "To check an entire digital integrated circuit with tens of millions of transistors for noise stability by means of dynamic simulation is not practical. Instead, static analysis techniques which couple simulations on groups of CCC's with a path trace are used." (see Shepard_2: p.1138, col.1, section IV, 1st para.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Chuang with those of Shepard_2 because the technique in Shepard_2 "enables practical checking of noise stability on a chip-wide basis, assuming the worst allowable noise that might be acting in each circuit from all possible noise sources." (see Shepard_2: p.1138, col.1, section IV, 1st para.).

Conclusion

36. The following prior art, made of record and not relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

37. Shepard, K.L. "Design Methodologies for Noise in Digital Integrated Circuits". 1998 ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference. 1998. pp.94-99.

38. Chuang, C.T. "Design Considerations of SOI Digital CMOS VLSI". Proc. 1998 Int'l SOI Conf. Oct. 5-8, 1998. pp.5-8.

39. Puri, R. and Chuang, C.T. "SOI Digital Circuits: Design Issues". 13th Int'l Conf. on

VLSI Design. Jan. 3-7, 2000. pp.474-479.

40. Sinitsky, D. et al. "Simulation of SOI Devices and Circuits using BSIM3SOI".

IEEE Electron Device Letters. Sept. 1998. pp.323-325.

41. Tu, R. "Simulation of Floating Body Effect in SOI Circuits Using BSIM3SOI". 1997

Int'l Symposium on VLSI Technology, Systems, and Applications, 1997. June 3-

5, 1997. pp.339-342.

42. U.S. Patent 6,023,577. Discusses the modeling of body voltage using a circuit.

43. U.S. Patent 6,141,632. Discusses a method for simulation of an SOI device.

44. U.S. Patent 6,281,737. Discusses method for reducing parasitic current in an SOI

device.

45. U.S. Patent 6,429,684. Discusses SOI with dynamic threshold voltage.

46. U.S. Patent 6,442,735. Discusses SOI design method.

47. U.S. Patent 6,490,546. Discusses SOI simulation models.

48. U.S. Patent 6,567,773. Discusses static noise analysis for SOI circuits.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ayal I. Sharon whose telephone number is (703) 306-0297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and the first Friday of a biweek, 8:30 am – 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on (703) 305-9704. Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Director of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the following office:

4th floor receptionist's office
Crystal Park 2
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

Official communications: (703) 746-7239
Non-Official / Draft communications (703) 746-7240
After Final communications (703) 746-7238

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is:

(703) 305-3900.

Ayal I. Sharon

Art Unit 2123

July 29, 2003

SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ.
PRIMARY EXAMINER